



From hcana to Python, a possible roadmap (episode I)

***Gabriel Niculescu
James Madison University***

- ⊕ Motivation***
- ⊕ Scope***
- ⊕ (potential) Pitfalls***
- ⊕ Current Status***
- ⊕ Outlook***



Motivation



⊕ ... as per Leonardo di Pisa:

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8,...



⊕ The US produces enough PhDs to “renew” all R1 faculty every 4 years or so...

⊕ Most of the world does not do ROOT! (Or C++)

⊕ Python has a lot more traction in the corporate (and not only) world! (more job prospects...)

⊕ Lots of tools, active & dedicated community.

⊕ Why not? Scientific answer should be programming language independent!





Scope (I)

- ⊕ **Won't bother you with a work flowchart of a (typical) analysis, though that might have merit too!**
- ⊕ ***This is what I agreed/intend to do:***
- ⊕ ***Identify and implement a/some solution(s) for moving the final stage of the physics analysis to Python.***
- ⊕ ***NOTE1: Initial data reduction & calibration still in ROOT/C++***
- ⊕ ***NOTE2: Python analysis can work alongside or instead of the C++-based analysis.***
- ⊕ ***In case you were wondering:***
 - ⊕ ***Many high energy, particle physics experiments now have Python components (if they are not done 100% in Python!)***
 - ⊕ ***Since 2015... NSF has funded (Data-Intensive Analysis... - DIANA/HEP)***



Scope (II)

⊕ **To better clarify things I sat down and put thoughts on a piece of .txt file...**

- ⊕ % Plan for moving the JLab Hall C final analysis
- ⊕ % from a ROOT/C++ framework to a Python framework

⊕ **I. Assumptions:**

⊕ ~~~~~

⊕ **I.0. - User knows what they are doing/trying to do!**

- ⊕ I.1. - Raw data was reconstructed (using the best calibrations available) and the results (histograms, trees, etc.) were saved into ROOT files and (optionally) in text files (various report files for scalers, efficiency, etc.)

⊕ I.2. - Only the final steps of the analysis (binning, acceptance and other corr.) are left.

⊕ **II. Needs (for I.2):**

⊕ ~~~~~

- ⊕ II.1. - Traverse trees.
- ⊕ II.2. - Apply cuts a/o weights.
- ⊕ II.3. - Produce 1,2, 3(?)D histograms.
- ⊕ II.4. - Plot histograms, graphs, etc.
- ⊕ II.5. - Perform fits on histograms, graphs...
- ⊕ II.6. - Extract numerical information (fit parameters, tables, etc.)

⊕ ***** want to do all of II.xx in Python! *****



Scope (III)

- ⊕ % Plan for moving the JLab Hall C final analysis
- ⊕ % from a ROOT/C++ framework to a Python framework

- ⊕ **III. Action items (to do List):**

- ⊕ ~~~~~

- ⊕ **Based on I. and II. above one needs to find a way to...**

- ⊕ **III.1. - Read ROOT file in Python.**
- ⊕ **III.2. - Convert ROOT hists, trees, etc. into suitable Python "structure(s)" (TBD).**
- ⊕ **III.3. - Ensure permanence of Python "structure(s)" - i.e. SAVE them in a non-ROOT file.**
- ⊕ **III.4.-. - Do all the steps listed under II. above!**

- ⊕ ***** do all of the above reasonably fast! (x-check wrt ROOT!) *****

- ⊕ **Now, looking at the Python ecosystem (w/ an eye for Data Analysis tools) there is an obvious choice!**





Pitfalls (I)



⊕ Possible solutions for addressing JE data “issue”:

1. Carefully “prune” the ROOT tree, keeping only the needed branches/leaves.

⊕ This should result in a flat, 2D structure that will map real well in a DF.

⊕ (after all one only needs 7 values to fully define one particle, throw in a little PID info and FP quantities and we are still at only 2-3 dozen vars... for both spectrometers combined!)

⊕ This is the fastest solution.

2. Keep the data “as is” and cope with the time/space/\$\$ penalty.

⊕ Requires the least amount of work now

⊕ Really poor outlook compared w/ 1 & 3.

3. “Flatten” the ROOT tree by splitting it into several 2D structures:

⊕ Main DF w/ all single valued quantities of interest + pointers/indexes (and sizes) for all array-per-event variables

⊕ One DF for each of the array-per-event variables (use index and range to access)

⊕ Can keep all variables. Analysis code more involved – some speed penalty.



Pitfalls (II)



- ⊕ ***Pandas DataFrame is really designed to work (very well!) with 2D data structures.***
- ⊕ ***Hall A/C ROOT trees have “jagged edge” (JE) structure***
- ⊕ ***i.e. 3D data, w/ the 3rd dimension variable.***

- ⊕ ***This poses a HUGE problem for Python/Pandas!***
- ⊕ ***... especially if one wants to ensure permanence.***
- ⊕ ***HDF5 (h5) file format (which is how one might want to save the data) has real difficulties handling JE data.***
- ⊕ ***It can be done but it is not pretty!***
- ⊕ ***Done some testing and the data ballooned by a factor of ~10 when trying to save it in h5. – Clearly needs more work!***





Status



- ⊕ ***Pandas DataFrame is really designed to work (very well!) with 2D data structures.***
- ⊕ ***Hall A/C ROOT trees have “jagged edge” (JE) structure***
- ⊕ ***i.e. 3D data, w/ the 3rd dimension variable.***

- ⊕ ***This poses a HUGE problem for Python/Pandas!***
- ⊕ ***... especially if one wants to ensure permanence.***
- ⊕ ***HDF5 (h5) file format (which is how one might want to save the data) has real difficulties handling JE data.***
- ⊕ ***It can be done but it is not pretty!***
- ⊕ ***Done some testing and the data ballooned by a factor of ~10 when trying to save it in h5. – Clearly needs more work!***





Status (II)



- ⊕ ***Right now I can...***
- ⊕ ***Read ROOT tree into numpy arrays.***
- ⊕ ***Read ROOT tree into pandas DF (even w/ JE)***
- ⊕ ***Once in the DF one can slice/plot/etc (matplotlib)***
- ⊕ ***Save DF into .h5 file (huge penalty if JE data)***

- ⊕ ***See also output file...***





Outlook



- ⊕ **Continue to work on the JE problem identified earlier**
- ⊕ **Come back w/ more quantitative assessment of the possible solutions.**
- ⊕ **Produce “publication quality” plots for the F2 exp...**
- ⊕ **(more distant future): pySIMC?**

